arXiv:1604.07945v2 [math.AP] 2 May 2016

Instability of solitary waves for a generalized derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation in a borderline case

Noriyoshi Fukaya*

Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science, Tokyo University of Science, 1-3 Kagurazaka, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 162-8601, Japan

Abstract

We study the orbital instability of solitary waves for a generalized derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation. We give sufficient conditions for instability of a two-parameter family of solitary waves in a degenerate case.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the following generalized derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$i\partial_t u + \partial_x^2 u + i|u|^{2\sigma}\partial_x u = 0, \quad (t,x) \in \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}, \tag{1}$$

where u is a complex-valued function of $(t,x) \in \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma \geq 1$. Eq. (1) appears in plasma physics, nonlinear optics, and so on (see, e.g., [13, 14]).

It is known that (1) has a two-parameter family of solitary waves

$$u_\omega(t,x) = e^{i\omega_0 t}\phi_\omega(x-\omega_1 t), \tag{2}$$

where $\omega = (\omega_0,\omega_1) \in \Omega := \{\, (\omega_0,\omega_1) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \omega_1^2 < 4\omega_0 \,\}$,

$$\phi_\omega(x) = \varphi_\omega(x)\exp i\left(\frac{\omega_1}{2}x - \frac{1}{2\sigma+2}\int_{-\infty}^{x}\varphi_\omega(y)^{2\sigma}\,dy\right),$$

$$\varphi_\omega(x) = \left\{\frac{(\sigma+1)(4\omega_0-\omega_1^2)}{2\sqrt{\omega_0}\cosh(\sigma\sqrt{4\omega_0-\omega_1^2}\,x)-\omega_1}\right\}^{1/2\sigma}.$$

E-mail address: noriyoshi_fukaya@alumni.tus.ac.jp

We note that ϕ_ω is a solution of

$$-\partial_x^2\phi + \omega_0\phi + \omega_1 i\partial_x\phi - i|\phi|^{2\sigma}\partial_x\phi = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{3}$$

We regard $L^2(\mathbb{R}) = L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ and $H^1(\mathbb{R}) = H^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ as real Hilbert spaces with inner products

$$(v, w)_{L^2} = \Re \int_{\mathbb{R}} v(x)\overline{w(x)}\, dx, \quad v, w \in L^2(\mathbb{R}),$$
$$(v, w)_{H^1} = (v, w)_{L^2} + (\partial_x v, \partial_x w)_{L^2}, \quad v, w \in H^1(\mathbb{R}).$$

Recently, Hayashi and Ozawa [7] proved that the Cauchy problem for (1) is locally well-posed in the energy space $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ for all $\sigma \geq 1$ (see also [9, 8, 10]). Moreover, (1) has three conserved quantities

$$E(u) = \frac{1}{2}\|\partial_x u\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{1}{2(\sigma+1)}(i\partial_x u, |u|^{2\sigma}u)_{L^2},$$
$$Q_0(u) = \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{L^2}^2, \quad Q_1(u) = \frac{1}{2}(i\partial_x u, u)_{L^2}.$$

Note that (1) can be written in Hamiltonian form $i\partial_t u(t) = E'(u(t))$.

For $\omega \in \Omega$ and $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$, we define

$$S_\omega(u) = E(u) + \sum_{j=0}^{1} \omega_j Q_j(u).$$

Then (3) is equivalent to $S'_\omega(\phi) = 0$. For $\omega \in \Omega$, let $d(\omega) = S_\omega(\phi_\omega)$. Then,

$$d'(\omega) = (\partial_{\omega_0} d(\omega), \partial_{\omega_1} d(\omega)) = (Q_0(\phi_\omega), Q_1(\phi_\omega)),$$
$$d''(\omega) = \begin{bmatrix} \partial_{\omega_0}^2 d(\omega) & \partial_{\omega_0}\partial_{\omega_1} d(\omega) \\ \partial_{\omega_1}\partial_{\omega_0} d(\omega) & \partial_{\omega_1}^2 d(\omega) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \langle Q_0'(\phi_\omega), \partial_{\omega_0}\phi_\omega\rangle & \langle Q_1'(\phi_\omega), \partial_{\omega_0}\phi_\omega\rangle \\ \langle Q_0'(\phi_\omega), \partial_{\omega_1}\phi_\omega\rangle & \langle Q_1'(\phi_\omega), \partial_{\omega_1}\phi_\omega\rangle \end{bmatrix}. \tag{4}$$

The stability of solitary waves is defined as follows.

Definition 1. The solitary wave $e^{i\omega_0 t}\phi_\omega(\cdot - \omega_1 t)$ is said to be *stable* if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ with the following property. If $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $\|u_0 - \phi_\omega\|_{H^1} < \delta$, then the solution $u(t)$ of (1) with $u(0) = u_0$ exists for all $t \geq 0$, and $u(t) \in U_\varepsilon(\phi_\omega)$ for all $t \geq 0$, where

$$U_\varepsilon(\phi_\omega) = \{\, u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \mid \inf_{(s_0, s_1) \in \mathbb{R}^2} \|u - e^{is_0}\phi_\omega(\cdot - s_1)\|_{H^1} < \varepsilon \,\}.$$

Otherwise, $T(\omega t)\phi$ is said to be *unstable*.

For the case $\sigma = 1$, Guo and Wu [6] showed that the solitary wave $e^{i\omega_0 t}\phi_\omega(\cdot - \omega_1 t)$ is stable for $\omega \in \Omega$ with $\omega_1 < 0$, and Colin and Ohta [2] proved that the solitary wave $e^{i\omega_0 t}\phi_\omega(\cdot - \omega_1 t)$ is stable for all $\omega \in \Omega$. For general exponents $\sigma > 1$, Liu, Simpson

and Sulem [11] proved that for all $\sigma \geq 2$ and $\omega \in \Omega$, the solitary wave $e^{i\omega_0 t}\phi_\omega(\cdot - \omega_1 t)$ is unstable. In [11], they also proved for $1 < \sigma < 2$ the solitary wave $e^{i\omega_0 t}\phi_\omega(\cdot - \omega_1 t)$ is stable if $-2\sqrt{\omega_0} < \omega_1 < 2z_0\sqrt{\omega_0}$, and unstable if $2z_0\sqrt{\omega_0} < \omega_1 < 2\sqrt{\omega_0}$, where the constant $z_0 = z_0(\sigma) \in (-1, 1)$ is the solution of

$$F_\sigma(z) := (\sigma - 1)^2 \left[\int_0^\infty (\cosh y - z)^{-1/\sigma}\, dy\right]^2 - \left[\int_0^\infty (\cosh y - z)^{-1/\sigma - 1}(z\cosh y - 1)\, dy\right]^2 = 0.$$

We note that $\det[d''(\omega)]$ has the same sign as $F_\sigma(\omega_1/2\sqrt{\omega_0})$ (see [11, Lemma 4.2]). In [11], it is showed by numerical computation that for $1 < \sigma < 2$, the function F_σ is monotonically increasing, $F_\sigma(-1) < 0$ and $\lim_{z\uparrow 1} F_\sigma(z) = +\infty$, and that F_σ has exactly one root z_0 in the interval $(-1, 1)$. The proof in [11] is based on the spectral analysis of the linearized operator $S''_\omega(\phi_\omega)$ and the Hessian matrix $d''(\omega)$, and the general theory of Grillakis, Shatah and Strauss [5]. However, the stability problem in the case $\omega_1 = 2z_0\sqrt{\omega_0}$ is open because the Hessian matrix $d''(\omega)$ is degenerate. While there are several papers treating the stability and instability of a one-parameter family of solitary waves in degenerate cases (see [1, 15, 3, 12, 18]), to the best of our knowledge, there are none for a two-parameter family of solitary waves.

In this paper, we consider the borderline case $\omega_1 = 2z_0\sqrt{\omega_0}$ and prove the following.

Theorem 1. *Let $3/2 \leq \sigma < 2$ and $z_0 = z_0(\sigma) \in (-1, 1)$ satisfy $F_\sigma(z_0) = 0$. Then the solitary wave $e^{i\omega_0 t}\phi_\omega(\cdot - \omega_1 t)$ is unstable if $\omega_1 = 2z_0\sqrt{\omega_0}$.*

Remark 1. If $3/2 \leq \sigma < 2$, then $E \in C^3(H^1(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{R})$, but if $1 < \sigma < 3/2$, E is not C^3.

The proof of Theorem 1 is based on similar arguments of Ohta [15, 16] and Maeda [12]. In our case $\omega_1 = 2z_0\sqrt{\omega_0}$, it can be proved that if $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is an eigenvector of $d''(\omega)$ corresponding to the zero eigenvalue, then $\frac{d^3}{d\lambda^3} d(\omega + \lambda\xi)|_{\lambda=0} \neq 0$. Hence, we can prove instability by the Lyapunov functional methods like [15, 12, 16].

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we give a sufficient condition for instability in degenerate cases and show that this condition holds in our cases. In section 3, we prove this condition implies instability of the solitary wave $e^{i\omega_0 t}\phi_\omega(\cdot - \omega_1 t)$.

2. Sufficient condition for instability

For $s = (s_0, s_1) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $v \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$, we define

$$T(s)v = T_0(s_0)T_1(s_1)v = e^{is_0}v(\cdot - s_1).$$

Then, the generator $T_j'(0)$ of $\{T_j(s)\}_{s\in\mathbb{R}}$ is given by

$$T_0'(0)v = iv, \quad T_1'(0)v = -\partial_x v, \quad v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}).$$

We define the bounded linear operator B_j from $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ by

$$B_j v = -iT_j'(0)v.$$

Then we have $Q_j'(v) = B_j v$. Note that E and Q_j are invariant under T, that is,

$$E(T(s)u) = E(u), \quad Q_j(T(s)u) = Q_j(u).$$

These and $S_\omega'(\phi_\omega) = 0$ imply that $S_\omega''(\phi_\omega)T_j'(0)\phi_\omega = 0$ for $j = 0, 1$.

For $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2$, let

$$B_\xi v = \sum_{j=0}^{1} \xi_j B_j v, \quad v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}).$$

In this section, we prove the following.

Proposition 1. *Let $3/2 \le \sigma < 2$ and $z_0 = z_0(\sigma) \in (-1, 1)$ satisfy $F_\sigma(z_0) = 0$. Let $\omega_1 = 2z_0\sqrt{\omega_0}$ and $\xi = (\xi_0, \xi_1) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ be an eigenvector of the Hessian matrix $d''(\omega)$ corresponding to the zero eigenvalue. Then there exists $\psi \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ with the following properties.*

(i) $(B_j\phi_\omega, \psi)_{L^2} = (T_j'(0)\phi_\omega, \psi)_{L^2} = 0$ *for $j = 0, 1$, and*

$$S_\omega''(\phi_\omega)\psi = -B_\xi\phi_\omega, \quad \langle S_\omega'''(\phi_\omega)(\psi, \psi), \psi\rangle \neq -3(B_\xi\psi, \psi)_{L^2}.$$

(ii) *There exists $k_0 > 0$ such that $\langle S_\omega''(\phi_\omega)w, w\rangle \ge k_0\|w\|_{H^1}^2$ for all $w \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying*

$$(w, B_\xi\phi_\omega)_{L^2} = (w, T_0'(0)\phi_\omega)_{L^2} = (w, T_1'(0)\phi_\omega)_{L^2} = (w, \psi)_{L^2} = 0.$$

To prove Proposition 1 (i), we establish the following.

Lemma 1. *Let $1 < \sigma < 2$ and $z_0 = z_0(\sigma) \in (-1, 1)$ satisfy $F_\sigma(z_0) = 0$. Let $\omega_1 = 2z_0\sqrt{\omega_0}$ and $\xi = (\xi_0, \xi_1) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ be an eigenvector of the Hessian matrix $d''(\omega)$ corresponding to the zero eigenvalue. Then $\frac{d^3}{d\lambda^3}d(\omega + \lambda\xi)|_{\lambda=0} \neq 0$.*

The proof of Lemma 1 is given in Appendix A. To prove Proposition 1 (ii), we use the spectral condition of the linearized operator $S_\omega''(\phi_\omega)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} S_\omega''(\phi_\omega)v = (&-\partial_x^2 - i\sigma|\phi_\omega|^{2\sigma-2}\overline{\phi_\omega}\partial_x\phi_\omega - i|\phi_\omega|^{2\sigma}\partial_x + \omega_0 + \omega_1 i\partial_x)v \\ &- i\sigma|\phi_\omega|^{2\sigma-2}\phi_\omega\partial_x\phi_\omega\overline{v}. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

The following result is due to [11].

Lemma 2 ([11, Theorem 3.1])**.** *For $\sigma \ge 1$ and $\omega \in \Omega$, there exist $\chi_\omega \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}$, $\lambda_\omega < 0$ and $k_1 > 0$ such that $S_\omega''(\phi_\omega)\chi_\omega = \lambda\chi_\omega$ and $\langle S_\omega''(\phi_\omega)p, p\rangle \ge k_1\|p\|_{L^2}^2$ for all $p \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying*

$$(p, \chi_\omega)_{L^2} = (p, T_0'(0)\phi_\omega)_{L^2} = (p, T_1'(0)\phi_\omega)_{L^2} = 0.$$

Remark 2. By Lemma 2, it is impossible that for $\sigma \geq 1$ and $\omega \in \Omega$, the Hessian matrix $d''(\omega)$ has two nonnegative eigenvalues (see [5, Section 3]).

Now, we verify Proposition 1. We define

$$Q_\xi(u) = \frac{1}{2}(B_\xi u, u)_{L^2}, \quad u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}).$$

Proof of Proposition 1. (i) By differentiating $S'_{\omega+\lambda\xi}(\phi_{\omega+\lambda\xi}) = 0$ with respect to λ, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&S''_{\omega+\lambda\xi}(\phi_{\omega+\lambda\xi})\partial_\lambda\phi_{\omega+\lambda\xi} = -B_\xi\phi_{\omega+\lambda\xi},\\
&S'''_{\omega+\lambda\xi}(\phi_{\omega+\lambda\xi})(\partial_\lambda\phi_{\omega+\lambda\xi}, \partial_\lambda\phi_{\omega+\lambda\xi}) + S''_{\omega+\lambda\xi}(\phi_{\omega+\lambda\xi})\partial_\lambda^2\phi_{\omega+\lambda\xi} = -2B_\xi\partial_\lambda\phi_{\omega+\lambda\xi}.
\end{aligned}$$

By differentiating $d(\omega + \lambda\xi) = S_{\omega+\lambda\xi}(\phi_{\omega+\lambda\xi})$ with respect to λ, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d\lambda}d(\omega+\lambda\xi) &= Q_\xi(\phi_{\omega+\lambda\xi}),\\
\frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2}d(\omega+\lambda\xi) &= \langle Q'_\xi(\phi_{\omega+\lambda\xi}), \partial_\lambda\phi_{\omega+\lambda\xi}\rangle = (B_\xi\phi_{\omega+\lambda\xi}, \partial_\lambda\phi_{\omega+\lambda\xi})_{L^2},\\
\frac{d^3}{d\lambda^3}d(\omega+\lambda\xi) &= (B_\xi\partial_\lambda\phi_{\omega+\lambda\xi}, \partial_\lambda\phi_{\omega+\lambda\xi})_{L^2} + (B_\xi\phi_{\omega+\lambda\xi}, \partial_\lambda^2\phi_{\omega+\lambda\xi})_{L^2}\\
&= (B_\xi\partial_\lambda\phi_{\omega+\lambda\xi}, \partial_\lambda\phi_{\omega+\lambda\xi})_{L^2} - \langle S''_{\omega+\lambda\xi}(\phi_{\omega+\lambda\xi})\partial_\lambda\phi_{\omega+\lambda\xi}, \partial_\lambda^2\phi_{\omega+\lambda\xi}\rangle\\
&= (B_\xi\partial_\lambda\phi_{\omega+\lambda\xi}, \partial_\lambda\phi_{\omega+\lambda\xi})_{L^2} - \langle S''_{\omega+\lambda\xi}(\phi_{\omega+\lambda\xi})\partial_\lambda^2\phi_{\omega+\lambda\xi}, \partial_\lambda\phi_{\omega+\lambda\xi}\rangle\\
&= \langle S'''_{\omega+\lambda\xi}(\phi_{\omega+\lambda\xi})(\partial_\lambda\phi_{\omega+\lambda\xi}, \partial_\lambda\phi_{\omega+\lambda\xi}), \partial_\lambda\phi_{\omega+\lambda\xi}\rangle\\
&\quad + 3(B_\xi\partial_\lambda\phi_{\omega+\lambda\xi}, \partial_\lambda\phi_{\omega+\lambda\xi})_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

We take

$$\hat{\psi} = \partial_\lambda\phi_{\omega+\lambda\xi}|_{\lambda=0} = \xi_0\partial_{\omega_0}\phi_\omega + \xi_1\partial_{\omega_1}\phi_\omega.$$

Then, since ξ is a zero eigenvector of $d''(\omega)$, we deduce

$$0 = d''(\omega)\xi = \begin{bmatrix}\langle Q'_0(\phi_\omega), \xi_0\partial_{\omega_0}\phi_\omega + \xi_1\partial_{\omega_1}\phi_\omega\rangle\\ \langle Q'_1(\phi_\omega), \xi_0\partial_{\omega_0}\phi_\omega + \xi_1\partial_{\omega_1}\phi_\omega\rangle\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}(B_0\phi_\omega, \hat{\psi})_{L^2}\\ (B_1\phi_\omega, \hat{\psi})_{L^2}\end{bmatrix}.$$

Moreover, it follows from $\frac{d^3}{d\lambda^3}d(\omega+\lambda\xi)|_{\lambda=0} \neq 0$ that

$$0 \neq \frac{d^3}{d\lambda^3}d(\omega+\lambda\xi)|_{\lambda=0} = \langle S'''_\omega(\phi_\omega)(\hat{\psi}, \hat{\psi}), \hat{\psi}\rangle + 3(B_\xi\hat{\psi}, \hat{\psi})_{L^2}.$$

Let

$$\psi = \hat{\psi} + \sum_{j=0}^{1}\mu_j T'_j(0)\phi_\omega,$$

where $(\mu_0, \mu_1) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is taken so that

$$(T'_0(0)\phi_\omega, \psi)_{L^2} = (T'_1(0)\phi_\omega, \psi)_{L^2} = 0.$$

Then we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(B_j\phi_\omega, \psi)_{L^2} = (B_j\phi_\omega, \hat{\psi})_{L^2} = 0, \quad j = 0, 1,\\
&S''_\omega(\phi_\omega)\psi = S''_\omega(\phi_\omega)\hat{\psi} = -B_\xi\phi_\omega,\\
&\langle S'''_\omega(\phi_\omega)(\psi,\psi),\psi\rangle + 3(B_\xi\psi,\psi)_{L^2} = \langle S'''_\omega(\phi_\omega)(\hat{\psi},\hat{\psi}),\hat{\psi}\rangle + 3(B_\xi\hat{\psi},\hat{\psi})_{L^2} \neq 0.
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we have the conclusion.

(ii) First, we show that $\langle S''_\omega(\phi_\omega)w, w\rangle \geq k_1\|w\|_{L^2}^2$, where k_1 is the positive constant given in Lemma 2. Since $\psi \neq 0$ and $(\psi, T_j'(0)\phi_\omega)_{L^2} = \langle S''_\omega(\phi_\omega)\psi,\psi\rangle = 0$, it follows from Lemma 2 that $(\psi,\chi_\omega)_{L^2} \neq 0$. Let

$$
\alpha = -\frac{(w,\chi_\omega)_{L^2}}{(\psi,\chi_\omega)_{L^2}}, \quad p = w + \alpha\psi.
$$

Then we have $(p,\chi_\omega)_{L^2} = (p, T_0'(0)\phi_\omega)_{L^2} = (p, T_1'(0)\phi_\omega)_{L^2} = 0$. By Lemma 2 and $(w,\psi)_{L^2} = 0$, we obtain

$$
\langle S''_\omega(\phi_\omega)p, p\rangle \geq k_1\|w+\alpha\psi\|_{L^2}^2 \geq k_1\|w\|_{L^2}^2.
$$

On the other hand, by $S''_\omega(\phi_\omega)\psi = -B_\xi\phi_\omega$ and $\langle S''_\omega(\phi_\omega)\psi,\psi\rangle = (w, B_\xi\phi_\omega)_{L^2} = 0$, we have $\langle S''_\omega(\phi_\omega)p,p\rangle = \langle S''_\omega(\phi_\omega)w, w\rangle$.

Next, we prove the conclusion. Since ϕ_ω, $\partial_x\phi_\omega \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, by (5), we see that there exist positive constants c and C such that

$$
c\|v\|_{H^1}^2 \leq \langle S''_\omega(\phi_\omega)v, v\rangle + C\|v\|_{L^2}^2
$$

for all $v \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$. This and first claim imply the conclusion. □

3. Proof of Theorem 1

In this section, we prove Theorem 1 by using Proposition 1. Throughout this section, let $3/2 \leq \sigma < 2$, $z_0 = z_0(\sigma) \in (-1,1)$ satisfy $F_\sigma(z_0) = 0$, $\omega_1 = 2z_0\sqrt{\omega_0}$ and $\xi = (\xi_0,\xi_1) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ be an eigenvector of the Hessian matrix $d''(\omega)$ corresponding to the zero eigenvalue.

Lemma 3. *There exist $\lambda_0 > 0$ and a C^∞-mapping $\rho\colon (-\lambda_0,\lambda_0) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$
Q_\xi(\phi_\omega + \lambda\psi + \rho(\lambda)B_\xi\phi_\omega) = Q_\xi(\phi_\omega) \tag{6}
$$

for all $\lambda \in (-\lambda_0,\lambda_0)$, and

$$
\rho(\lambda) = -\frac{(B_\xi\psi,\psi)_{L^2}}{2\|B_\xi\phi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2}\lambda^2 + o(\lambda^2) \tag{7}
$$

as $\lambda \to 0$.

Proof. We define

$$F(\lambda,\rho)=Q_\xi(\phi_\omega+\lambda\psi+\rho B_\xi\phi_\omega)-Q_\xi(\phi_\omega),\quad (\lambda,\rho)\in\mathbb{R}^2.$$

Then we have $F(0,0)=0$ and

$$\partial_\rho F(0,0)=\langle Q'_\xi(\phi_\omega),B_\xi\phi_\omega\rangle=\|B_\xi\phi_\omega\|_H^2\neq 0.$$

By the implicit function theorem, there exist $\lambda_0>0$ and a C^∞-mapping $\rho\colon(-\lambda_0,\lambda_0)\to\mathbb{R}$ such that $F(\lambda,\rho(\lambda))=0$ for all $\lambda\in(-\lambda_0,\lambda_0)$.

Moreover, by differentiating $F(\lambda,\rho(\lambda))=0$ with respect to λ, we obtain

$$\rho'(0)=0,\quad \rho''(0)=-\frac{(B_\xi\psi,\psi)_{L^2}}{\|B_\xi\phi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2}.$$

This completes the proof. □

We define

$$\Psi(\lambda)=\phi_\omega+\lambda\psi+\rho(\lambda)B_\xi\phi_\omega,\quad \lambda\in(-\lambda_0,\lambda_0).$$

Lemma 4. *There exist $\varepsilon_0>0$ and C^3-mappings $\alpha=(\alpha_0,\alpha_1)\colon U_{\varepsilon_0}(\phi_\omega)\to\mathbb{R}^2$, $\Lambda\colon U_{\varepsilon_0}(\phi_\omega)\to(-\lambda_0,\lambda_0)$, $\beta\colon U_{\varepsilon_0}(\phi_\omega)\to\mathbb{R}$, $w\colon U_{\varepsilon_0}(\phi_\omega)\to H^1(\mathbb{R})$ such that*

$$\begin{gathered}T(\alpha(u))u=\Psi(\Lambda(u))+\beta(u)B_\xi\phi_\omega+w(u),\qquad(8)\\ (w(u),B_\xi\phi_\omega)_{L^2}=(w(u),T_0'(0)\phi_\omega)_{L^2}=(w(u),T_1'(0)\phi_\omega)_{L^2}=(w(u),\psi)_{L^2}=0,\\ \alpha(T(s)u)=\alpha(u)-s,\quad \Lambda(T(s)u)=\Lambda(u),\\ \beta(T(s)u)=\beta(u),\quad w(T(s)u)=w(u)\end{gathered}$$

for all $u\in U_{\varepsilon_0}(\phi_\omega)$ and $s\in\mathbb{R}^2$.

Proof. We define

$$G(u,\alpha,\Lambda,\beta)=\begin{bmatrix}(T(\alpha)u-\Psi(\Lambda)-\beta B_\xi\phi_\omega,T_0'(0)\phi_\omega)_{L^2}\\ (T(\alpha)u-\Psi(\Lambda)-\beta B_\xi\phi_\omega,T_1'(0)\phi_\omega)_{L^2}\\ (T(\alpha)u-\Psi(\Lambda)-\beta B_\xi\phi_\omega,\psi)_{L^2}\\ (T(\alpha)u-\Psi(\Lambda)-\beta B_\xi\phi_\omega,B_\xi\phi_\omega)_{L^2}\end{bmatrix},$$

for $(u,\alpha,\Lambda,\beta)\in H^1(\mathbb{R})\times\mathbb{R}^2\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}$. Then, we have $G(\phi_\omega,0,0,0)=0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\partial G}{\partial(\alpha,\Lambda,\beta)}(\phi_\omega,0,0,0)\\ &=\begin{bmatrix}\|T_0'(0)\phi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2 & (T_1'(0)\phi_\omega,T_0'(0)\phi_\omega)_{L^2} & 0 & 0\\ (T_0'(0)\phi_\omega,T_1'(0)\phi_\omega)_{L^2} & \|T_1'(0)\phi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & -\|\psi\|_{L^2}^2 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\|B_\xi\phi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2\end{bmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $T_0'(0)\phi_\omega$, $T_1'(0)\phi_\omega$ are linearly independent, we see that $\frac{\partial G}{\partial(\alpha,\Lambda,\beta)}(\phi_\omega,0,0,0)$ is invertible. Thus by the implicit function theorem, there exist $\varepsilon_0>0$, $\alpha=(\alpha_0,\alpha_1)\colon U_{\varepsilon_0}(\phi_\omega)\to\mathbb{R}^2$, $\Lambda\colon U_{\varepsilon_0}(\phi_\omega)\to(-\lambda_0,\lambda_0)$ and $\beta\colon U_{\varepsilon_0}(\phi_\omega)\to\mathbb{R}$ such that $G(u,\alpha(u),\Lambda(u),\beta(u))=0$ for all $u\in U_{\varepsilon_0}(\phi_\omega)$. Finally, we define

$$w(u)=T(\alpha(u))u-\Psi(\Lambda(u))-\beta(u)B_\xi\phi_\omega,\quad u\in U_{\varepsilon_0}(\phi_\omega).$$

Then, we have the conclusion. □

Remark 3. By the uniqueness of the solution of $G=0$, we have

$$\alpha(\Psi(\lambda))=0,\ \Lambda(\Psi(\lambda))=\lambda,\ \beta(\Psi(\lambda))=0,\ w(\Psi(\lambda))=0,\quad \lambda\in(-\lambda_0,\lambda_0).$$

Lemma 5. *$\alpha_j'(u)$, $\Lambda'(u)$, $\alpha_j''(u)v\in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ for all $u\in U_{\varepsilon_0}(\phi_\omega)$ and $v\in H^1(\mathbb{R})$. Moreover*

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_0'(\phi_\omega)&=\frac{-\|T_1'(0)\phi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2T_0'(0)\phi_\omega+(T_0'(0)\phi_\omega,T_1'(0)\phi_\omega)_{L^2}T_1'(0)\phi_\omega}{\|T_0'(0)\phi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2\|T_1'(0)\phi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2-(T_0'(0)\phi_\omega,T_1'(0)\phi_\omega)_{L^2}^2},\\ \alpha_1'(\phi_\omega)&=\frac{(T_0'(0)\phi_\omega,T_1'(0)\phi_\omega)_{L^2}T_0'(0)\phi_\omega-\|T_0'(0)\phi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2T_1'(0)\phi_\omega}{\|T_0'(0)\phi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2\|T_1'(0)\phi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2-(T_0'(0)\phi_\omega,T_1'(0)\phi_\omega)_{L^2}^2}.\end{aligned}\tag{9}$$

Proof. By differentiating $G(u,\alpha(u),\Lambda(u),\beta(u))=0$ with respect to u, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\begin{bmatrix}\alpha_0'(u)\\ \alpha_1'(u)\\ \Lambda'(u)\\ \beta'(u)\end{bmatrix}&=-\left[\frac{\partial G}{\partial(\alpha,\Lambda,\mu)}(u,\alpha(u),\Lambda(u),\beta(u))\right]^{-1}\begin{bmatrix}T(-\alpha(u))T_0'(0)\phi_\omega\\ T(-\alpha(u))T_1'(0)\phi_\omega\\ T(-\alpha(u))\psi\\ T(-\alpha(u))B_\xi\phi_\omega\end{bmatrix}\\ &\in H^1(\mathbb{R})^4.\end{aligned}\tag{10}$$

Similarly, we also see that $\alpha_j''(u)v\in H^1(\mathbb{R})$. Moreover, by substituting ϕ_ω for u in (10), we obtain (9). □

Lemma 6. *For $u\in U_{\varepsilon_0}(\phi_\omega)$ satisfying $Q_\xi(u)=Q_\xi(\phi_\omega)$,*

$$\beta(u)=O(|\Lambda(u)|\|w(u)\|_{H^1}+\|w(u)\|_{H^1}^2)$$

as $\inf_{s\in\mathbb{R}^2}\|u-T(s)\phi_\omega\|_{H^1}\to0$.

Proof. For $u\in U_{\varepsilon_0}(\phi_\omega)$ satisfying $Q_\xi(u)=Q_\xi(\phi_\omega)$, by (8), (6) and $(B_\xi\phi_\omega,w(u))_{L^2}=0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}0&=Q_\xi(u)-Q_\xi(\phi_\omega)=Q_\xi(T(\alpha(u))u)-Q_\xi(\phi_\omega)\\ &=Q_\xi(\Psi(\Lambda(u))+\beta(u)B_\xi\phi_\omega+w(u))-Q_\xi(\phi_\omega)\\ &=Q_\xi(\Psi(\Lambda(u)))-Q_\xi(\phi_\omega)+\beta(u)^2Q_\xi(B_\xi\phi_\omega)+Q_\xi(w(u))\\ &\quad+\beta(u)(B_\xi\Psi(\Lambda(u)),B_\xi\phi_\omega)_{L^2}+\beta(u)(B_\xi^2\phi_\omega,w(u))_{L^2}+(B_\xi\Psi(\Lambda(u)),w(u))_{L^2}\\ &=\beta(u)[\|B_\xi\phi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2+o(1)]+Q_\xi(w(u))+O(|\Lambda(u)|\|w(u)\|_{L^2}).\end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof. □

For $u \in U_{\varepsilon_0}(\phi_\omega)$, we define

$$M(u) = T(\alpha(u))u, \quad A(u) = -(M(u), i\psi)_{L^2}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
A'(u) &= -\sum_{j=0}^{1}(T_j'(0)M(u), i\psi)_{L^2}\alpha_j'(u) - iT(-\alpha(u))\psi,\\
A''(u)v &= -\sum_{j=0}^{1}(T_j'(0)M(u), i\psi)_{L^2}\alpha_j''(u)v\\
&\quad -\sum_{j=0}^{1}\langle\alpha_j'(u), v\rangle\sum_{k=0}^{1}(T_k'(0)T_j'(0)M(u), i\psi)_{L^2}\alpha_k'(u)\\
&\quad -\sum_{j=0}^{1}\langle\alpha_j'(u), v\rangle T(-\alpha(u))B_j\psi - \sum_{j=0}^{1}(T_j'(0)T(\alpha(u))v, i\psi)_{L^2}\alpha_j'(u).
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5, we see that $A'(u)$, $A''(u)v \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ for all $u \in U_{\varepsilon_0}(\phi_\omega)$ and $v \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$. Moreover, by (9), we deduce

$$iA'(\phi_\omega) = \psi, \tag{11}$$

$$iA''(\phi_\omega)\psi = -\sum_{j=0}^{1}(B_j\psi, \psi)_{L^2}i\alpha_j'(\phi_\omega). \tag{12}$$

Since M and A are invariant under T, it follows that

$$0 = \frac{d}{ds}A(T_j(s)u)|_{s=0} = \langle A'(u), T_j'(0)u\rangle = -\langle Q_j'(u), iA'(u)\rangle. \tag{13}$$

For $u \in U_{\varepsilon_0}(\phi_\omega)$, we define

$$P(u) = \langle E'(u), iA'(u)\rangle.$$

Then by (13), we have

$$P(u) = \langle S_\omega'(u), iA'(u)\rangle.$$

By $S_\omega'(\phi_\omega) = 0$, (11) and $S_\omega''(\phi_\omega)\psi = -B_\xi\phi_\omega$, we obtain

$$P'(\phi_\omega) = -B_\xi\phi_\omega. \tag{14}$$

Moreover, by (12) and (9), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle P''(\phi_\omega)\psi, \psi\rangle &= \langle S_\omega'''(\phi_\omega)(\psi, \psi), \psi\rangle + 2\langle S_\omega''(\phi_\omega)\psi, iA''(\phi_\omega)\psi\rangle\\
&= \langle S_\omega'''(\phi_\omega)(\psi, \psi), \psi\rangle + 2(B_\xi\psi, \psi)_{L^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

We note that P is invariant under T.

Lemma 7. *Let I be an interval of $\mathbb{R}$. Let $u \in C(I, H^1(\mathbb{R})) \cap C^1(I, H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}))$ be a solution of (1), and assume that $u(t) \in U_{\varepsilon_0}(\phi_\omega)$ for all $t \in I$. Then,*

$$\frac{d}{dt}A(u(t)) = P(u(t))$$

for all $t \in I$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.6 of [4], we see that $t \mapsto A(u(t))$ is C^1 on I, and

$$\frac{d}{dt}A(u(t)) = \langle \partial_t u(t), A'(u(t)) \rangle = \langle E'(u(t)), iA'(u(t)) \rangle = P(u(t))$$

for all $t \in I$. This completes the proof. □

Let

$$\nu = \langle S_\omega'''(\phi_\omega)(\psi,\psi),\psi\rangle + 3(B_\xi\psi,\psi)_{L^2}.$$

Then $\nu \neq 0$ by Proposition 1 (i).

Lemma 8. *For $\lambda \in (-\lambda_0, \lambda_0)$,*

$$S_\omega(\Psi(\lambda)) - S_\omega(\phi_\omega) = \frac{\lambda^3}{6}\nu + o(\lambda^3), \tag{16}$$

$$P(\Psi(\lambda)) = \frac{\lambda^2}{2}\nu + o(\lambda^2) \tag{17}$$

as $\lambda \to 0$.

Proof. Since $S_\omega'(\phi_\omega) = 0$, $S_\omega''(\phi_\omega)\psi = -B_\xi\phi_\omega$ and $(\psi, B_\xi\phi_\omega)_{L^2} = 0$, by Taylor's expansion and (7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&S_\omega(\Psi(\lambda)) - S_\omega(\phi_\omega) = S_\omega(\phi_\omega + \lambda\psi + \rho(\lambda)B_\xi\phi_\omega) - S_\omega(\phi_\omega)\\
&= \langle S_\omega'(\phi_\omega), \lambda\psi + \rho(\lambda)B_\xi\phi_\omega\rangle + \frac{\lambda^2}{2}\langle S_\omega''(\phi_\omega)\psi,\psi\rangle + \lambda\rho(\lambda)\langle S_\omega''(\phi_\omega)\psi, B_\xi\phi_\omega\rangle\\
&\quad + \frac{\lambda^3}{6}\langle S_\omega'''(\phi_\omega)(\psi,\psi),\psi\rangle + o(\lambda^3)\\
&= \frac{\lambda^3}{6}[\langle S_\omega'''(\phi_\omega)(\psi,\psi),\psi\rangle + 3(B_\xi\psi,\psi)_{L^2}] + o(\lambda^3).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by (7), (14) and (15), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&P(\Psi(\lambda)) = P(\phi_\omega + \lambda\psi + \rho(\lambda)B_\xi\phi_\omega)\\
&= P(\phi_\omega) + \langle P'(\phi_\omega), \lambda\psi + \rho(\lambda)B_\xi\phi_\omega\rangle + \frac{\lambda^2}{2}\langle P''(\phi_\omega)\psi,\psi\rangle + o(\lambda^2)\\
&= \frac{\lambda^2}{2}[\langle S_\omega'''(\phi_\omega)(\psi,\psi),\psi\rangle + 3(B_\xi\psi,\psi)_{L^2}] + o(\lambda^2).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Lemma 9. *For $u \in U_{\varepsilon_0}(\phi_\omega)$ satisfying $Q_\xi(u) = Q_\xi(\phi_\omega)$,*

$$S_\omega(u) - S_\omega(\phi_\omega) = \frac{\Lambda(u)^3}{6}\nu + \frac{1}{2}\langle S''_\omega(\phi_\omega)w(u), w(u)\rangle + o(|\Lambda(u)|^3 + \|w(u)\|_{H^1}^2), \tag{18}$$

$$\Lambda(u)P(u) = \frac{\Lambda(u)^3}{2}\nu + o(|\Lambda(u)|^3 + \|w(u)\|_{H^1}^2) \tag{19}$$

as $\inf_{s\in\mathbb{R}^2} \|u - T(s)\phi_\omega\|_{H^1} \to 0$.

Proof. By Lemmas 4, 6 and (16), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&S_\omega(u) - S_\omega(\phi_\omega)\\
&= S_\omega(M(u)) - S_\omega(\phi_\omega) = S_\omega(\Psi(\Lambda(u)) + \beta(u)B_\xi\phi_\omega + w(u)) - S_\omega(\phi_\omega)\\
&= S_\omega(\Psi(\Lambda(u))) - S_\omega(\phi_\omega) + \langle S'_\omega(\Psi(\Lambda(u))), \beta(u)B_\xi\phi_\omega + w(u)\rangle\\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\langle S''_\omega(\Psi(\Lambda(u)))(\beta(u)B_\xi\phi_\omega + w(u)), \beta(u)B_\xi\phi_\omega + w(u)\rangle\\
&\quad + o(\|\beta(u)B_\xi\phi_\omega + w(u)\|_{H^1}^2)\\
&= \frac{\Lambda(u)^3}{6}\nu + \Lambda(u)\langle S''_\omega(\phi_\omega)\psi, \beta(u)B_\xi\phi_\omega + w(u)\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\langle S''_\omega(\phi_\omega)w(u), w(u)\rangle\\
&\quad + o(|\Lambda(u)|^3 + \|w(u)\|_{H^1}^2)\\
&= \frac{\Lambda(u)^3}{6}\nu + \frac{1}{2}\langle S''_\omega(\phi_\omega)w(u), w(u)\rangle + o(|\Lambda(u)|^3 + \|w(u)\|_{H^1}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by Lemmas 4, 6 and (17), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
&P(u)\\
&= P(M(u)) = P(\Psi(\Lambda(u)) + \beta(u)B_\xi\phi_\omega + w(u))\\
&= P(\Psi(\Lambda(u))) + \langle P'(\Psi(\Lambda(u))), \beta(u)B_\xi\phi_\omega + w(u)\rangle\\
&\quad + O(\|\beta(u)B_\xi\phi_\omega + w(u)\|_{H^1}^2)\\
&= \frac{\Lambda(u)^2}{2}\nu + \langle P'(\phi_\omega), w(u)\rangle + O(|\Lambda(u)|^3 + \|w(u)\|_{H^1}^2 + |\Lambda(u)|\|w(u)\|_{H^1})\\
&= \frac{\Lambda(u)^2}{2}\nu + \langle S''_\omega(\phi_\omega)\psi, w(u)\rangle\\
&\quad + O(|\Lambda(u)|^3 + \|w(u)\|_{H^1}^2 + |\Lambda(u)|\|w(u)\|_{H^1})\\
&= \frac{\Lambda(u)^2}{2}\nu + O(|\Lambda(u)|^3 + \|w(u)\|_{H^1}^2 + |\Lambda(u)|\|w(u)\|_{H^1}).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 1. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\nu > 0$. Note that by (16), there exists $\lambda_1 \in (0, \lambda_0)$ such that $S_\omega(\phi_\omega) - S_\omega(\Psi(\lambda)) > 0$ for all $\lambda \in (-\lambda_1, 0)$. By Lemma 9 and Proposition 1 (ii), we see that there exist $\varepsilon_1 \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ and $c > 0$ such that

$$S_\omega(u) - S_\omega(\phi_\omega) - \Lambda(u)P(u) \geq -c\Lambda(u)^3 \tag{20}$$

for all $u \in U_{\varepsilon_1}(\phi_\omega)$.

Suppose that $T(\omega t)\phi_\omega$ is stable. Let $u_\lambda(t)$ be the solution of (1) with $u_\lambda(0) = \Psi(\lambda)$. Since $T(\omega t)\phi_\omega$ is stable, there exists $\lambda_2 \in (0, \lambda_1)$ such that $u_\lambda(t) \in U_{\varepsilon_1}(\phi_\omega)$ for all $\lambda \in (-\lambda_2, \lambda_2)$ and $t \geq 0$. Let $\lambda \in (-\lambda_2, 0)$. Then by the conservation of S_ω and (20), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \delta_\lambda &:= S_\omega(\phi_\omega) - S_\omega(u_\lambda(0)) \\ &= S_\omega(\phi_\omega) - S_\omega(u_\lambda(t)) \leq c\Lambda(u_\lambda(t))^3 - \Lambda(u_\lambda(t))P(u_\lambda(t)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \geq 0$. By this inequality, $\Lambda(u_\lambda(0)) = \lambda < 0$ and continuity of $t \mapsto \Lambda(u_\lambda(t))$, we see that $\Lambda(u_\lambda(t)) < 0$ for all $t \geq 0$. Thus, we have $\delta_\lambda < \lambda_0 P(u_\lambda(t))$ for all $t \geq 0$. Moreover, by Lemma 7, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}A(u_\lambda(t)) = P(u_\lambda(t)), \quad t \geq 0.$$

Therefore, we see that $A(u_\lambda(t)) \to \infty$ as $t \to +\infty$. This contradicts the fact that there exists $C > 0$ such that $|A(u)| \leq C$ for all $u \in U_{\varepsilon_0}(\phi_\omega)$.

Hence, $T(\omega t)\phi_\omega$ is unstable. □

A. Proof of Lemma 1

In this section, we prove Lemma 1. Throughout this section, let $1 < \sigma < 2$ and $z_0 = z_0(\sigma) \in (-1, 1)$ satisfy $F_\sigma(z_0) = 0$. For $\omega \in \Omega$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_\omega &= \sqrt{4\omega_0 - \omega_1^2}, \\ \tilde{\kappa}_\omega &= 2^{1/\sigma-2}\sigma^{-1}(1+\sigma)^{1/\sigma}\kappa_\omega^{2/\sigma-2}\omega_0^{-1/2\sigma-1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\partial_{\omega_0}\kappa_\omega = \frac{2}{\kappa_\omega}, \quad \partial_{\omega_1}\kappa_\omega = -\frac{\omega_1}{\kappa_\omega}, \tag{21}$$

$$\partial_{\omega_0}\tilde{\kappa}_\omega = \tilde{\kappa}_\omega\left[\frac{4(1-\sigma)}{\sigma\kappa_\omega^2} - \frac{1+\sigma}{2\sigma\omega_0}\right], \quad \partial_{\omega_1}\tilde{\kappa}_\omega = -\tilde{\kappa}_\omega\frac{2(1-\sigma)\omega_1}{\sigma\kappa_\omega^2}. \tag{22}$$

For $\omega \in \Omega$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we define

$$\alpha_{n,\omega} = \int_0^\infty \left(\cosh(\sigma\kappa_\omega x) - \frac{\omega_1}{2\sqrt{\omega_0}}\right)^{-1/\sigma-n} dx.$$

Then it follows from [11, Lemmas A.1 and A.2] that

$$\partial_{\omega_0}\alpha_{0,\omega} = -\frac{2}{\kappa_\omega^2}\alpha_{0,\omega} - \frac{\omega_1}{4\sigma\omega_0^{3/2}}\alpha_{1,\omega}, \tag{23}$$

$$\partial_{\omega_1}\alpha_{0,\omega} = \frac{\omega_1}{\kappa_\omega^2}\alpha_{0,\omega} + \frac{1}{2\sigma\sqrt{\omega_0}}\alpha_{1,\omega}, \tag{24}$$

$$\partial_{\omega_0}\alpha_{1,\omega} = -\frac{\omega_1}{\sigma\sqrt{\omega_0}\kappa_\omega^2}\alpha_{0,\omega} - \frac{\omega_1^2(2+\sigma)+4\sigma\omega_0}{2\sigma\omega_0\kappa_\omega^2}\alpha_{1,\omega}, \tag{25}$$

$$\partial_{\omega_1}\alpha_{1,\omega} = \frac{2\sqrt{\omega_0}}{\sigma\kappa_\omega^2}\alpha_{0,\omega} + \frac{2\omega_1(\sigma+1)}{\sigma\kappa_\omega^2}\alpha_{1,\omega}. \tag{26}$$

By [11, Lemma A.3] and (4), we obtain

$$\partial_{\omega_0}^2 d(\omega) = \frac{\tilde{\kappa}_\omega}{\omega_0^{1/2}}(2\omega_1^2 - 8(\sigma-1)\omega_0)\alpha_{0,\omega} - \frac{\tilde{\kappa}_\omega}{\omega_0}\kappa_\omega^2\omega_1\alpha_{1,\omega} = \frac{\partial_{\omega_1}^2 d(\omega)}{\omega_0}, \tag{27}$$

$$\partial_{\omega_1}\partial_{\omega_0} d(\omega) = 4\tilde{\kappa}_\omega\omega_1(\sigma-2)\omega_0^{1/2}\alpha_{0,\omega} + 2\tilde{\kappa}\kappa_\omega^2\alpha_{1,\omega} = \partial_{\omega_0}\partial_{\omega_1} d(\omega). \tag{28}$$

Eq. (27) implies that

$$\omega_0\partial_{\omega_0}^3 d(\omega) = \partial_{\omega_0}\partial_{\omega_1}^2 d(\omega) - \partial_{\omega_0}^2 d(\omega), \tag{29}$$

$$\partial_{\omega_1}^3 d(\omega) = \omega_0\partial_{\omega_0}^2\partial_{\omega_1} d(\omega). \tag{30}$$

On the other hand, by differentiating (28), it follows from (21)–(26) that

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{\omega_0}^2\partial_{\omega_1} d(\omega) = {} & \frac{2\omega_1\tilde{\kappa}_\omega\alpha_{0,\omega}}{\sigma\kappa_\omega^2\omega_0^{1/2}}[4(2-3\sigma)(\sigma-2)\omega_0 - (\sigma-1)\kappa_\omega^2] \\ & + \frac{\tilde{\kappa}_\omega\alpha_{1,\omega}}{\sigma\omega_0}[4(2-\sigma)\omega_0 - 2\sigma\omega_1^2 - (1+\sigma)\kappa_\omega^2],\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{\omega_0}\partial_{\omega_1}^2 d(\omega) = {} & \frac{4\omega_0^{1/2}\tilde{\kappa}_\omega\alpha_{0,\omega}}{\sigma\kappa_\omega^2}[(3\sigma-2)(\sigma-2)\omega_1^2 + (\sigma-1)^2\kappa_\omega^2] \\ & + \frac{2(3\sigma-2)\omega_1\tilde{\kappa}_\omega\alpha_{1,\omega}}{\sigma}.\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Let $\omega_1 = 2z_0\sqrt{\omega_0}$. Then by $\det[d''(\omega)] = 0$ and (27), we have

$$(\partial_{\omega_0}\partial_{\omega_1} d(\omega))^2 = \partial_{\omega_0}^2 d(\omega)\partial_{\omega_1}^2 d(\omega) = \omega_0(\partial_{\omega_0}^2 d(\omega))^2. \tag{33}$$

Let

$$\xi = (\xi_0, \xi_1) = (-\omega_0\partial_{\omega_0}^2 d(\omega), \partial_{\omega_0}\partial_{\omega_1} d(\omega)).$$

Then ξ is an eigenvector corresponding to the zero eigenvalue of $d''(\omega)$. In order to calculate the value of $\frac{d^3}{d\lambda^3}d(\omega+\lambda\xi)|_{\lambda=0}$, we establish the following lemma.

Lemma 10. *Let* $\omega_1 = 2z_0\omega_0^{1/2}$.

(i) *If* $\partial_{\omega_0}\partial_{\omega_1} d(\omega) = -\omega_0^{1/2}\partial_{\omega_0}^2 d(\omega)$, *then*

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d^3}{d\lambda^3} d(\omega+\lambda\xi)|_{\lambda=0}\\ &= \omega_0^2(\partial_{\omega_0}^2 d(\omega))^3[-4\partial_{\omega_0}\partial_{\omega_1}^2 d(\omega) - 4\omega_0^{1/2}\partial_{\omega_0}^2\partial_{\omega_1} d(\omega) + \partial_{\omega_0}^2 d(\omega)].\end{aligned}$$

(ii) *If* $\partial_{\omega_0}\partial_{\omega_1} d(\omega) = \omega_0^{1/2}\partial_{\omega_0}^2 d(\omega)$, *then*

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d^3}{d\lambda^3} d(\omega+\lambda\xi)|_{\lambda=0}\\ &= \omega_0^2(\partial_{\omega_0}^2 d(\omega))^3[-4\partial_{\omega_0}\partial_{\omega_1}^2 d(\omega) + 4\omega_0^{1/2}\partial_{\omega_0}^2\partial_{\omega_1} d(\omega) + \partial_{\omega_0}^2 d(\omega)].\end{aligned}$$

Proof. By (29), (30) and (33), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_0^3\partial_{\omega_0}^3 d(\omega) &= -\omega_0^2(\partial_{\omega_0}^2 d(\omega))^3\partial_{\omega_0}\partial_{\omega_1}^2 d(\omega) + \omega_0^2(\partial_{\omega_0}^2 d(\omega))^4,\\ \xi_0^2\xi_1\partial_{\omega_0}^2\partial_{\omega_1} d(\omega) &= \omega_0^2(\partial_{\omega_0}^2 d(\omega))^2\partial_{\omega_0}\partial_{\omega_1} d(\omega)\partial_{\omega_0}^2\partial_{\omega_1} d(\omega),\\ \xi_0\xi_1^2\partial_{\omega_0}\partial_{\omega_1}^2 d(\omega) &= -\omega_0^2(\partial_{\omega_0}^2 d(\omega))^4 - \omega_0^2(\partial_{\omega}^2 d(\omega))^3\partial_{\omega_0}^3 d(\omega),\\ \xi_1^3\partial_{\omega_1}^3 d(\omega) &= \omega_0^2(\partial_{\omega_0}^2 d(\omega))^2\partial_{\omega_0}\partial_{\omega_1} d(\omega)\partial_{\omega_0}^2\partial_{\omega_1} d(\omega).\end{aligned}$$

These imply that

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d^3}{d\lambda^3} d(\omega+\lambda\xi)|_{\lambda=0}\\ &= \xi_0^3\partial_{\omega_0}^3 d(\omega) + 3\xi_0^2\xi_1\partial_{\omega_0}^2\partial_{\omega_1} d(\omega) + 3\xi_0\xi_1^2\partial_{\omega_0}\partial_{\omega_1}^2 d(\omega) + \xi_1^3\partial_{\omega_1}^3 d(\omega)\\ &= \omega_0^2(\partial_{\omega_0}^2 d(\omega))^2[-4\partial_{\omega_0}^2 d(\omega)\partial_{\omega_0}\partial_{\omega_1}^2 d(\omega)\\ &\quad + 4\partial_{\omega_0}\partial_{\omega_1} d(\omega)\partial_{\omega_0}^2\partial_{\omega_1} d(\omega) + (\partial_{\omega_0}^2 d(\omega))^2].\end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain the conclusion. □

Proof of Lemma 1. Let $\omega_1 = 2z_0\sqrt{\omega_0}$. Then, by (27), (28), (31) and (32), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{\omega_0}^2 d(\omega) &= 8\tilde{\kappa}_\omega\omega_0^{1/2}\alpha_{0,\omega}(z_0^2-\sigma+1) + 8\tilde{\kappa}_\omega\omega_0^{1/2}\alpha_{1,\omega}z_0(z_0^2-1),\\ \partial_{\omega_0}\partial_{\omega_1} d(\omega) &= 8\tilde{\kappa}_\omega\omega_0 z_0(\sigma-2)\alpha_{0,\omega} + 8\tilde{\kappa}_\omega\omega_0(1-z_0^2)\alpha_{1,\omega},\\ \partial_{\omega_0}\partial_{\omega_1}^2 d(\omega) &= \frac{4\omega_0^{1/2}\tilde{\kappa}_\omega\alpha_{0,\omega}}{\sigma(1-z_0^2)}[(3\sigma-2)(\sigma-2)z_0^2 + (\sigma-1)^2(1-z_0^2)]\\ &\quad + \frac{4(3\sigma-2)z_0\omega_0^{1/2}\tilde{\kappa}_\omega\alpha_{1,\omega}}{\sigma},\\ \partial_{\omega_0}^2\partial_{\omega_1} d(\omega) &= \frac{4z_0\tilde{\kappa}_\omega\alpha_{0,\omega}}{\sigma(1-z_0^2)}[(2-3\sigma)(\sigma-2) - (\sigma-1)(1-z_0^2)]\\ &\quad + \frac{4\tilde{\kappa}_\omega\alpha_{1,\omega}}{\sigma}(-\sigma z_0^2 + z_0^2 - 2\sigma + 1),\end{aligned}$$

If $\partial_{\omega_0}\partial_{\omega_1} d(\omega) = -\omega^{1/2}\partial_{\omega_0}^2 d(\omega)$, we have $-(1-z_0-\sigma)\alpha_{0,\omega} = (1-z_0^2)\alpha_{1,\omega}$. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}&-4\partial_{\omega_0}\partial_{\omega_1}^2 d(\omega) - 4\omega_0^{1/2}\partial_{\omega_0}^2\partial_{\omega_1} d(\omega) + \partial_{\omega_0}^2 d(\omega)\\ &= \frac{8\omega_0^{1/2}\tilde{\kappa}_\omega\alpha_{0,\omega}}{\sigma(1-z_0^2)}\sigma(\sigma-1)(z_0-1)^2(z_0+1) \neq 0.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if $\partial_{\omega_0}\partial_{\omega_1} d(\omega) = \omega^{1/2}\partial_{\omega_0}^2 d(\omega)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&-4\partial_{\omega_0}\partial_{\omega_1}^2 d(\omega) + 4\omega_0^{1/2}\partial_{\omega_0}^2\partial_{\omega_1} d(\omega) + \partial_{\omega_0}^2 d(\omega)\\ &= \frac{8\omega_0^{1/2}\tilde{\kappa}_\omega\alpha_{0,\omega}}{\sigma(1-z_0^2)}\sigma(\sigma-1)(z_0+1)^2(z_0-1) \neq 0.\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 10, we conclude $\frac{d^3}{d\lambda^3} d(\omega+\lambda\xi)|_{\lambda=0} \neq 0$. □

Acknowledgements

The author would like to express his gratitude to Professor Masahito Ohta for helpful advices and encouragements. The author would also like to thank Professor Masaya Maeda for giving useful notes to him.

References

[1] A. Comech and D. Pelinovsky, *Purely nonlinear instability of standing waves with minimal energy*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **56** (2003), 1565–1607.

[2] M. Colin and M. Ohta, *Stability of solitary waves for derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Anal. Non Linéaire **23** (2006), 753–764.

[3] M. Colin and M. Ohta, *Bifurcation from semitrivial standing waves and ground states for a system of nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **44** (2012), 206–223.

[4] M. Grillakis, J. Shatah and W. Strauss, *Stability theory of solitary waves in the presence of symmetry, I*, J. Funct. Anal. **74** (1987), 160–197.

[5] M. Grillakis, J. Shatah and W. Strauss, *Stability theory of solitary waves in the presence of symmetry, II*, J. Funct. Anal. **94** (1990), 308–348.

[6] B. Guo and Y. Wu, *Orbital stability of solitary waves for the nonlinear derivative Schrödinger equation*, J. Differential Equations **123** (1995), 35–55.

[7] M. Hayashi and T. Ozawa, *Well-posedness for a generalized derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, preprint, arXiv:1601.04167.

[8] N. Hayashi, *The initial value problem for the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation in the energy space*, Nonlinear Anal. **20** (1993), 823–833.

[9] N. Hayashi and T. Ozawa, *On the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, Phys. D **55** (1992), 14–36.

[10] N. Hayashi and T. Ozawa, *Finite energy solutions of nonlinear Schrödinger equations of derivative type*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **25** (1994), 1488–1503.

[11] X. Liu, G. Simpson and C. Sulem, *Stability of solitary waves for a generalized derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, J. Nonlinear Sci. **23** (2013), 557–583.

[12] M. Maeda, *Stability of bound states of Hamiltonian PDEs in the degenerate cases*, J. Funct. Anal. **263** (2012), 511–528.

[13] K. Mio, T. Ogino, K. Minami, S. Takeda, *Modified nonlinear Schrödinger equation for Alfvén Waves propagating along magnetic field in cold plasma*, J. Phys. Soc. **41** (1976), 265–271.

[14] E. Mjølhus, *On the modulational instability of hydromagnetic waves parallel to the magnetic field*, J. Plasma Phys. **16** (1976), 321–334.

[15] M. Ohta, *Instability of bound states for abstract nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, J. Funct. Anal. **261** (2011), 90–110.

[16] M. Ohta, *Instability of solitary waves for nonlinear Schrödinger equations of derivative type*, SUT J. Math. **50** (2014), 399–415.

[17] T. Ozawa, *On the nonlinear Schrödinger equations of derivative type*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **45** (1996), 137–163.

[18] Y. Yamazaki, *Stability of line standing waves near the bifurcation point for nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Kodai Math. J., **38** (2015), 65–96.